

1.1.1 Memória de cálculo da análise de capacidade e níveis de serviço – Cenário FUTURO Com empreendimento em funcionamento e com acréscimo de área – USO PRESTAÇÃO DE SERVIÇOS DE SAÚDE

1.1.1.1 Interseção A – Pico Manhã

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: A
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV04
 North/South Street: MOV04
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 0.25

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street:	Approach Movement	Eastbound			Westbound		
		1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R
Volume		2020	208				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	1.00				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		2219	208				
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--		--	--	
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?							
Lanes		2	0				
Configuration		T	TR				
Upstream Signal?		No				No	

Minor Street:	Approach Movement	Northbound			Southbound		
		7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume				26			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				28			
Percent Heavy Vehicles				0		0	
Percent Grade (%)		0			/		/
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage							
Lanes				1			
Configuration				R			

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Approach Movement	EB		WB		Northbound			Southbound		
	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Lane Config					R					
v (vph)					28					
C(m) (vph)					223					
v/c					0.13					
95% queue length					0.42					
Control Delay					23.4					
LOS					C					
Approach Delay					23.4					
Approach LOS					C					

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone:

Fax:

E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: A
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV04
 North/South Street: MOV04
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 0.25

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume		2020	208			
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	1.00			
Peak-15 Minute Volume		555	52			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		2219	208			
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage		Undivided		/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes		2	0			
Configuration		T	TR			
Upstream Signal?		No			No	

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume			26			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF			0.91			
Peak-15 Minute Volume			7			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR			28			
Percent Heavy Vehicles			0			
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized			No			
Lanes			1			
Configuration			R			

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog.	Sat	Arrival	Green	Cycle	Prog.	Distance
	Flow	Flow	Type	Time	Length	Speed	to Signal
	vph	vph		sec	sec	kph	meters
S2 Left-Turn							
Through							
S5 Left-Turn							
Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		
Number of major street through lanes:		

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12

	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)					6.2			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)					0			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)					0.00			
t(c,T):	1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
t(c)	1-stage				6.2			
	2-stage							

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)					3.30			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)					0			
t(f)					3.3			

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothing Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)				
Proportion time blocked, p		0.000		0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
p(2)				
p(5)				
p(dom)				
p(subo)				
Constrained or unconstrained?				

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)			
	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II
p(1)			
p(4)			
p(7)			
p(8)			
p(9)			
p(10)			
p(11)			
p(12)			

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
V c,x					1214			

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
V c,x					1214			

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
V c,x					1214			

s
 Px
 V c,u,x

C r,x
 C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c,x)
 s
 P(x)
 V(c,u,x)

C(r,x)
 C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
Conflicting Flows	1214	
Potential Capacity	223	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity	223	
Probability of Queue free St.	0.87	1.00
Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		
Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		1.00
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	0.87
Movement Capacity		

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00

Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		

Result for 2 stage process:

a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
---------------------------	---	----

Part 1 - First Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor. 1.00
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 0.87
 Movement Capacity

Results for Two-stage process:

a
 Y
 C t

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)			28			
Movement Capacity (vph)			223			
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep			223			
Volume			28			
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config					R			
v (vph)					28			
C(m) (vph)					223			
v/c					0.13			
95% queue length					0.42			
Control Delay					23.4			
LOS					C			
Approach Delay				23.4				
Approach LOS				C				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5		
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6		
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5		
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6		
P*(oj)		
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4		
N, Number of major street through lanes		
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.2 Interseção A – Pico Tarde

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: A
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV04
 North/South Street: MOV04
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 0.25

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street: Approach	Eastbound				Westbound		
	Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume		726	8				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		797	8				
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--			--	--
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?							
Lanes		2	0				
Configuration		T	TR				
Upstream Signal?		No				No	

Minor Street: Approach	Northbound			Southbound			
	Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				207			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				227			
Percent Heavy Vehicles				0			
Percent Grade (%)		0				0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/	
Lanes			1				
Configuration			R				

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound			
	Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config						R			
v (vph)						227			
C(m) (vph)						653			
v/c						0.35			
95% queue length						1.55			
Control Delay						13.4			
LOS						B			

Approach Delay
Approach LOS

13.4
B

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
Agency/Co.:
Date Performed: 05/06/2023
Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
Intersection: A
Jurisdiction: DER/DF
Units: U. S. Metric
Analysis Year: 2023
Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
East/West Street: MOV01-MOV04
North/South Street: MOV04
Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 0.25

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume		726	8			
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91			
Peak-15 Minute Volume		199	2			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		797	8			
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage		Undivided		/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes		2	0			
Configuration		T	TR			
Upstream Signal?		No			No	

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume			207			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF			0.91			
Peak-15 Minute Volume			57			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR			227			
Percent Heavy Vehicles			0			
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized			No			
Lanes			1			
Configuration			R			

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2 Left-Turn Through							
S5 Left-Turn Through							

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		
Number of major street through lanes:		

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)					6.2			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)					0			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)					0.00			
t(c,T):	1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
t(c)	1-stage				6.2			
	2-stage							

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)					3.30			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)					0			
t(f)					3.3			

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal			
	Movement 2	Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t) V(l,prot)

V prog
 Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)
 Arrival Type
 Effective Green, g (sec)
 Cycle Length, C (sec)
 Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)
 Proportion vehicles arriving on green P
 g(q1)
 g(q2)
 g(q)

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked			
	Movement 2	Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t) V(l,prot)

alpha
 beta
 Travel time, t(a) (sec)
 Smoothing Factor, F
 Proportion of conflicting flow, f
 Max platooned flow, V(c,max)
 Min platooned flow, V(c,min)
 Duration of blocked period, t(p)
 Proportion time blocked, p

	0.000	0.000
--	-------	-------

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods	Result
p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II
--	-----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

p(1)

p(4)
 p(7)
 p(8)
 p(9)
 p(10)
 p(11)
 p(12)

Computation 4 and 5
 Single-Stage Process

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R

V c,x
 s
 Px
 V c,u,x

402

C r,x
 C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c,x)
 s
 P(x)
 V(c,u,x)

C(r,x)
 C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St. 9 12

Conflicting Flows	402	
Potential Capacity	653	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity	653	
Probability of Queue free St.	0.65	1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St. 4 1

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		

Step 3: TH from Minor St. 8 11

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St. 7 10

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		1.00
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	0.65
Movement Capacity		

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St. 8 11

Part 1 - First Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt

Movement Capacity
 Probability of Queue free St.

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 1.00
 Movement Capacity

Result for 2 stage process:
 a
 Y
 C t
 Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St. 7 10

Part 1 - First Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor. 1.00
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 0.65
 Movement Capacity

Results for Two-stage process:
 a
 Y
 C t

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)				227		
Movement Capacity (vph)				653		
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep				653		
Volume				227		
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config					R			
v (vph)					227			
C(m) (vph)					653			
v/c					0.35			
95% queue length					1.55			
Control Delay					13.4			
LOS					B			
Approach Delay				13.4				
Approach LOS				B				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5		
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6		
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5		
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6		
P*(oj)		
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4		
N, Number of major street through lanes		
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.3 Interseção B – Pico Manhã

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: B
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2
 North/South Street: M7
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street:	Approach Movement	Eastbound				Westbound		
		1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R	
Volume					9	932		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF					0.91	0.91		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR					9	1024		
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--		12	--	--	
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/			
RT Channelized?								
Lanes					0	2		
Configuration						LT T		
Upstream Signal?			No			No		

Minor Street:	Approach Movement	Northbound			Southbound		
		7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume							
Peak Hour Factor, PHF		0.91					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		14					
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0					
Percent Grade (%)		0				0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/		/
Lanes		1					
Configuration		L					

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Approach Movement Lane Config	EB 1	WB 4 7 LT L	Northbound 8 9			Southbound 10 11 12	
v (vph)		9	14				
C(m) (vph)		1560	510				
v/c		0.01	0.03				
95% queue length		0.02	0.08				
Control Delay		7.3	12.3				
LOS		A	B				
Approach Delay				12.3			
Approach LOS				B			

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL (TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: B
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2
 North/South Street: M7
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Major Street Movements	Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments					
	1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R
Volume				9	932	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF				0.91	0.91	
Peak-15 Minute Volume				2	256	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				9	1024	
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--	12	--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes				0	2	
Configuration				LT	T	
Upstream Signal?		No			No	

Minor Street Movements	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume						
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	0.91					
Peak-15 Minute Volume	4					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	14					
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0					
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes	1					
Configuration	L					

Movements	Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments			
	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data						
Prog.	Sat	Arrival	Green	Cycle	Prog.	Distance

	Flow vph	Flow vph	Type	Time sec	Length sec	Speed kph	to Signal meters
S2	Left-Turn						
	Through						
S5	Left-Turn						
	Through						

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		0
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		1700
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		1700
Number of major street through lanes:		2

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)		4.1	7.1					
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)		12	0					
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)		0.00	0.70					
t(c,T):	1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
t(c)	1-stage	4.2	6.4					
	2-stage							

Follow-Up Time Calculations

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)		2.20	3.50					
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)		12	0					
t(f)		2.3	3.5					

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal

	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked

	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothing Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)				
Proportion time blocked, p			0.000	0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods

	Result
p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000

p(dom)
 p(subo)
 Constrained or unconstrained?

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Stage I	(3) Process Stage II
---	--------------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------

p(1)
 p(4)
 p(7)
 p(8)
 p(9)
 p(10)
 p(11)
 p(12)

Computation 4 and 5
 Single-Stage Process

Movement	1 L	4 L	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
----------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------	---------	---------

V c, x		0	530					
s								
Px								
V c, u, x								

C r, x
 C plat, x

Two-Stage Process

	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c, x)								
s		3000						
P(x)								
V(c, u, x)								

C(r, x)
 C(plat, x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
---------------------------	---	---

Conflicting Flows	0	
Potential Capacity	1560	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity	1560	
Probability of Queue free St.	0.99	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.	0.99	

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	0.99
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows	530	
Potential Capacity	513	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		0.99
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	1.00
Movement Capacity	510	

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	0.99
Movement Capacity		
Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	530	
Potential Capacity	513	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		0.99
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	1.00
Movement Capacity	510	
Results for Two-stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t	510	

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	14					
Movement Capacity (vph)	510					
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	510					
Volume	14					
Delay						

Q sep
 Q sep +1
 round (Qsep +1)

n max
 C sh
 SUM C sep
 n
 C act

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config		LT	L					
v (vph)		9	14					
C(m) (vph)		1560	510					
v/c		0.01	0.03					
95% queue length		0.02	0.08					
Control Delay		7.3	12.3					
LOS		A	B					
Approach Delay				12.3				
Approach LOS				B				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	0.99
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5		0
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6		0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5		1700
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6		1700
P*(oj)		0.99
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4		7.3
N, Number of major street through lanes		2
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.4 Interseção B – Pico Tarde

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: B
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2
 North/South Street: M7
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street:	Approach Movement	Eastbound			Westbound		
		1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R
	Volume				12	1989	
	Peak-Hour Factor, PHF				0.91	0.91	
	Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				13	2185	
	Percent Heavy Vehicles	--	--		0	--	--
	Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
	RT Channelized?						
	Lanes				0	2	
	Configuration				LT	T	
	Upstream Signal?		No			No	
Minor Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound		

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	222					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	0.91					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	243					
Percent Heavy Vehicles	1					
Percent Grade (%)	0					
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	/ /					
Lanes	1					
Configuration	L					

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service						
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound		Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10 11 12
Lane Config		LT	L			
v (vph)	13	243				
C(m) (vph)	1636	228				
v/c	0.01	1.07				
95% queue length	0.02	23.21				
Control Delay	7.2	263.8				
LOS	A	F				
Approach Delay				263.8		
Approach LOS				F		

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL (TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: B
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2
 North/South Street: M7
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				12	1989	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF				0.91	0.91	
Peak-15 Minute Volume				3	546	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				13	2185	
Percent Heavy Vehicles	-- --			0	-- --	
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes				0	2	
Configuration				LT	T	
Upstream Signal?	No				No	
Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	222					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	0.91					
Peak-15 Minute Volume	61					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	243					
Percent Heavy Vehicles	1					
Percent Grade (%)	0					
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	/ /					
RT Channelized						
Lanes	1					

Configuration L

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2 Left-Turn Through							
S5 Left-Turn Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		0
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		1700
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		1700
Number of major street through lanes:		2

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)		4.1	7.1					
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)		0	1					
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)		0.00	0.70					
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage		4.1	6.4					
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)		2.20	3.50					
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)		0	1					
t(f)		2.2	3.5					

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)

V prog
 Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)
 Arrival Type
 Effective Green, g (sec)
 Cycle Length, C (sec)
 Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)
 Proportion vehicles arriving on green P
 g(q1)
 g(q2)
 g(q)

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)

alpha
 beta
 Travel time, t(a) (sec)
 Smoothing Factor, F
 Proportion of conflicting flow, f
 Max platooned flow, V(c,max)
 Min platooned flow, V(c,min)
 Duration of blocked period, t(p)
 Proportion time blocked, p 0.000 0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods	Result
p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Single-stage Process	Two-Stage Process Stage I	Process Stage II
p(1)			
p(4)			
p(7)			
p(8)			
p(9)			
p(10)			
p(11)			
p(12)			

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R

V c,x	0	1118
s		
Px		
V c,u,x		

C r,x
C plat,x

Two-Stage Process								
	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c,x)								
s	3000							
P(x)								
V(c,u,x)								

C(r,x)
C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
---------------------------	---	---

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity	1636	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity	1636	
Probability of Queue free St.	0.99	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.	0.99	

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00

Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	0.99
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
<hr/>		
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
<hr/>		
Conflicting Flows	1118	
Potential Capacity	230	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		0.99
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		0.99
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	0.99
Movement Capacity	228	

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
<hr/>		
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
<hr/>		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	0.99
Movement Capacity		

Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
<hr/>		
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10

<hr/>		
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	1118	
Potential Capacity	230	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		0.99
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		0.99
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	0.99
Movement Capacity	228	

Results for Two-stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t	228	

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R

Volume (vph)	243
Movement Capacity (vph)	228
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)	

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	228					
Volume	243					
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config		LT	L					
v (vph)		13	243					
C(m) (vph)		1636	228					
v/c		0.01	1.07					
95% queue length		0.02	23.21					
Control Delay		7.2	263.8					
LOS		A	F					
Approach Delay				263.8				
Approach LOS				F				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	0.99
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5		0
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6		0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5		1700
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6		1700
P*(oj)		0.99
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4		7.2
N, Number of major street through lanes		2
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.5 Interseção C – Pico Manhã

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
Agency/Co.:
Date Performed: 05/06/2023
Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
Intersection: C
Jurisdiction: DER/DF
Units: U. S. Metric
Analysis Year: 2023
Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
East/West Street: MOV01-MOV05-MOV07
North/South Street: MOV08
Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street: Approach Eastbound Westbound

Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	39	1764				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.91	0.91				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	42	1938				
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	2				
Configuration	LT T					
Upstream Signal?	No				No	

Minor Street: Approach	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				9		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				9		
Percent Heavy Vehicles				12		
Percent Grade (%)	0				0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
Lanes				1		
Configuration				L		

Approach	Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service					
	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10 11 12
Lane Config	LT					L
v (vph)	42					9
C(m) (vph)	1636					234
v/c	0.03					0.04
95% queue length	0.08					0.12
Control Delay	7.3					21.0
LOS	A					C
Approach Delay						21.0
Approach LOS						C

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
Agency/Co.:
Date Performed: 05/06/2023
Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
Intersection: C
Jurisdiction: DER/DF
Units: U. S. Metric
Analysis Year: 2023
Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
East/West Street: MOV01-MOV05-MOV07
North/South Street: MOV08
Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Major Street Movements	Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	39	1764				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.91	0.91				
Peak-15 Minute Volume	11	485				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	42	1938				
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	2				
Configuration	LT T					

Upstream Signal?	No			No		
Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				9		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91		
Peak-15 Minute Volume				2		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				9		
Percent Heavy Vehicles				12		
Percent Grade (%)	0			0		
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/ /		
RT Channelized						
Lanes				1		
Configuration				L		

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2	Left-Turn						
	Through						
S5	Left-Turn						
	Through						

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	0	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	
Number of major street through lanes:	2	

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)	4.1					7.1		
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)	0					12		
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00					0.70		
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage	4.1					6.5		
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)	2.20					3.50		
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)	0					12		
t(f)	2.2					3.6		

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				

Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)
 Arrival Type
 Effective Green, g (sec)
 Cycle Length, C (sec)
 Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)
 Proportion vehicles arriving on green P
 g(q1)
 g(q2)
 g(q)

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked

	Movement 2	Movement 5
	V(t) V(l,prot)	V(t) V(l,prot)

alpha
 beta
 Travel time, t(a) (sec)
 Smoothing Factor, F
 Proportion of conflicting flow, f
 Max platooned flow, V(c,max)
 Min platooned flow, V(c,min)
 Duration of blocked period, t(p)
 Proportion time blocked, p

	0.000	0.000
--	-------	-------

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods Result

p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II
--	-----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

p(1)
 p(4)
 p(7)
 p(8)
 p(9)
 p(10)
 p(11)
 p(12)

Computation 4 and 5
 Single-Stage Process

Movement	1 L	4 L	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
----------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------	---------	---------

V c,x	0							1053
s								
Px								
V c,u,x								

C r,x
 C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c,x)								
s							3000	
P(x)								
V(c,u,x)								

C(r,x)
 C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
Conflicting Flows		0
Potential Capacity		1636
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		1636
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	0.97
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		0.97
Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.97	0.97
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Conflicting Flows		1053
Potential Capacity		240
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.97	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.98	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.98	0.97
Movement Capacity		234

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.97	0.97
Movement Capacity		
Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		1053
Potential Capacity		240
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.97	

Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.98	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.98	0.97
Movement Capacity		234

Results for Two-stage process:

a	
Y	
C t	234

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume (vph)				9		
Movement Capacity (vph)				234		
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
C sep				234		
Volume				9		
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LT					L		
v (vph)	42					9		
C(m) (vph)	1636					234		
v/c	0.03					0.04		
95% queue length	0.08					0.12		
Control Delay	7.3					21.0		
LOS	A					C		
Approach Delay							21.0	
Approach LOS							C	

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	0.97	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	0	
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	0	
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	
P*(oj)	0.97	
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	7.3	
N, Number of major street through lanes	2	
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.6 Interseção C – Pico Tarde

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023

Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: C
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID:
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV05-MOV07
 North/South Street: MOV08
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments								
Major Street:	Approach	Eastbound				Westbound		
	Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
		L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume		236	691					
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		259	759					
Percent Heavy Vehicles		21	--	--		--	--	
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/			
RT Channelized?								
Lanes		0	2					
Configuration		LT	T					
Upstream Signal?		No				No		

Minor Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound		
	Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume					12		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF					0.91		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR					13		
Percent Heavy Vehicles					0		
Percent Grade (%)		0				0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/		/
Lanes						1	
Configuration						L	

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LT						L	
v (vph)	259						13	
C(m) (vph)	1507						259	
v/c	0.17						0.05	
95% queue length	0.62						0.16	
Control Delay	7.9						19.6	
LOS	A						C	
Approach Delay								19.6
Approach LOS								C

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone:
 E-Mail:

Fax:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL (TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: C
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID:
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV05-MOV07
 North/South Street: MOV08
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	236	691				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.91	0.91				
Peak-15 Minute Volume	65	190				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	259	759				
Percent Heavy Vehicles	21	--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	2				
Configuration	LT T					
Upstream Signal?	No				No	

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				12		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91		
Peak-15 Minute Volume				3		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				13		
Percent Heavy Vehicles				0		
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes				1		
Configuration				L		

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2 Left-Turn Through							
S5 Left-Turn Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	0	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	
Number of major street through lanes:	2	

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)	4.1					7.1		
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)	21					0		
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00					0.70		
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage	4.3					6.4		
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)	2.20					3.50		

t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)	21					0		
t(f)	2.4					3.5		

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal

	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)

V prog
 Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)
 Arrival Type
 Effective Green, g (sec)
 Cycle Length, C (sec)
 Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)
 Proportion vehicles arriving on green P
 g(q1)
 g(q2)
 g(q)

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked

	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)

alpha
 beta
 Travel time, t(a) (sec)
 Smoothing Factor, F
 Proportion of conflicting flow, f
 Max platooned flow, V(c,max)
 Min platooned flow, V(c,min)
 Duration of blocked period, t(p)
 Proportion time blocked, p

	0.000	0.000
--	-------	-------

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods Result

p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Stage II
--	-----------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------

p(1)
 p(4)
 p(7)
 p(8)
 p(9)
 p(10)
 p(11)
 p(12)

Computation 4 and 5
 Single-Stage Process

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R

V c,x	0					897		
s								
Px								
V c,u,x								

C r,x
 C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c,x)								
s					3000			
P(x)								
V(c,u,x)								

C(r,x)
 C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
Conflicting Flows		0
Potential Capacity		1507
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		1507
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	0.83
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		0.83
Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.83	0.83
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Conflicting Flows		897
Potential Capacity		313
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.83	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.87	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.87	0.83
Movement Capacity		259

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.83	0.83
Movement Capacity		
Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		897
Potential Capacity		313
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.83	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.87	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.87	0.83
Movement Capacity		259

Results for Two-stage process:

a	
Y	
C t	259

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)				13		
Movement Capacity (vph)				259		
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep				259		
Volume				13		
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LT					L		
v (vph)	259					13		
C(m) (vph)	1507					259		
v/c	0.17					0.05		
95% queue length	0.62					0.16		
Control Delay	7.9					19.6		
LOS	A					C		
Approach Delay							19.6	
Approach LOS							C	

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	0.83	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	0	
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	0	
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	
P*(oj)	0.83	
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	7.9	
N, Number of major street through lanes	2	
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.7 Interseção D – Pico Manhã

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: D
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV05-MOV07
 North/South Street: MOV06
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street:	Approach Movement	Eastbound				Westbound		
		1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R	
Volume		1790	218					
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		1967	239					
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--			--	--	
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/			
RT Channelized?								
Lanes		2	0					
Configuration		T	TR					
Upstream Signal?		No				No		

Minor Street:	Approach Movement	Northbound			Southbound		
		7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume				3			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				3			
Percent Heavy Vehicles				34			
Percent Grade (%)		0				0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/		/
Lanes				1			
Configuration				R			

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Approach Movement	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound				
			1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config						R				
v (vph)						3				
C(m) (vph)						222				
v/c						0.01				
95% queue length						0.04				
Control Delay						21.4				
LOS						C				
Approach Delay						21.4				
Approach LOS						C				

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
 E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL (TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha

Intersection: D
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV05-MOV07
 North/South Street: MOV06
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume		1790	218			
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91			
Peak-15 Minute Volume		492	60			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		1967	239			
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage		Undivided		/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes		2	0			
Configuration		T	TR			
Upstream Signal?		No			No	

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume			3			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF			0.91			
Peak-15 Minute Volume			1			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR			3			
Percent Heavy Vehicles			34			
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized			No			
Lanes			1			
Configuration			R			

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog.	Sat	Arrival	Green	Cycle	Prog.	Distance
	Flow	Flow	Type	Time	Length	Speed	to Signal
	vph	vph		sec	sec	kph	meters
S2	Left-Turn						
	Through						
S5	Left-Turn						
	Through						

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		
Number of major street through lanes:		

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)					6.2			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)					34			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)					0.00			

t(c,T): 1-stage 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
 2-stage 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.00
 t(c) 1-stage 6.5
 2-stage

Follow-Up Time Calculations

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)					3.30			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)					34			
t(f)					3.6			

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal

	Movement 2	Movement 5
V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t) V(l,prot)

V prog
 Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)
 Arrival Type
 Effective Green, g (sec)
 Cycle Length, C (sec)
 Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)
 Proportion vehicles arriving on green P
 g(q1)
 g(q2)
 g(q)

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked

	Movement 2	Movement 5
V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t) V(l,prot)

alpha
 beta
 Travel time, t(a) (sec)
 Smoothing Factor, F
 Proportion of conflicting flow, f
 Max platooned flow, V(c,max)
 Min platooned flow, V(c,min)
 Duration of blocked period, t(p)
 Proportion time blocked, p 0.000 0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods Result

p(2) 0.000
 p(5) 0.000
 p(dom)
 p(subo)
 Constrained or unconstrained?

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Stage II
--	--------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------

p(1)
 p(4)
 p(7)
 p(8)
 p(9)
 p(10)
 p(11)
 p(12)

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R

V c,x 1103
 s
 Px
 V c,u,x

C r,x
 C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

7
8
10
11
 Stage1 Stage2 Stage1 Stage2 Stage1 Stage2 Stage1 Stage2

V(c,x)
s
P(x)
V(c,u,x)

C(r,x)
C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
Conflicting Flows	1103	
Potential Capacity	222	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity	222	
Probability of Queue free St.	0.99	1.00
Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		
Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		1.00
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	0.99
Movement Capacity		

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St. 7 10

Part 1 - First Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor. 1.00
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 0.99
 Movement Capacity

Results for Two-stage process:
 a
 Y
 C t

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)			3			
Movement Capacity (vph)			222			
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep			222			
Volume			3			
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config					R			
v (vph)					3			
C(m) (vph)					222			
v/c					0.01			
95% queue length					0.04			
Control Delay					21.4			
LOS					C			
Approach Delay				21.4				
Approach LOS				C				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	1.00
v(il), Volume for stream 2 or 5		

v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6
P*(oj)
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4
N, Number of major street through lanes
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5

1.1.1.8 Interseção D – Pico Tarde

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
Agency/Co.:
Date Performed: 05/06/2023
Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
Intersection: D
Jurisdiction: DER/DF
Units: U. S. Metric
Analysis Year: 2023
Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
East/West Street: MOV01-MOV05-MOV07
North/South Street: MOV06
Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments								
Major Street:	Approach Movement	Eastbound			Westbound			
		1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R	
Volume		703	6					
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		772	6					
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--			--	--	
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/			
RT Channelized?								
Lanes		2	0					
Configuration		T	TR					
Upstream Signal?		No				No		

Minor Street:	Approach Movement	Northbound			Southbound			
		7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R	
Volume				216				
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				237				
Percent Heavy Vehicles				0				
Percent Grade (%)		0				0		
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/			/
Lanes				1				
Configuration				R				

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service								
Approach Movement	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
			1	4	7	8	9	10
Lane Config						R		
v (vph)						237		
C(m) (vph)						664		
v/c						0.36		
95% queue length						1.65		
Control Delay						13.4		
LOS						B		
Approach Delay						13.4		
Approach LOS						B		

Phone:
E-Mail:

Fax:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL (TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: D
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV05-MOV07
 North/South Street: MOV06
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume		703	6			
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91			
Peak-15 Minute Volume		193	2			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		772	6			
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage		Undivided		/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes		2	0			
Configuration		T	TR			
Upstream Signal?		No			No	

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume			216			
Peak Hour Factor, PHF			0.91			
Peak-15 Minute Volume			59			
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR			237			
Percent Heavy Vehicles			0			
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized			No			
Lanes			1			
Configuration			R			

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments

Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data

	Prog. Flow	Sat Flow	Arrival Type	Green Time	Cycle Length	Prog. Speed	Distance to Signal
	vph	vph		sec	sec	kph	meters
S2 Left-Turn							
Through							
S5 Left-Turn							
Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		
Number of major street through lanes:		

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)					6.2			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)					0			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)					0.00			
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage					6.2			
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)					3.30			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)					0			
t(f)					3.3			

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothing Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)				
Proportion time blocked, p		0.000		0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods		Result
p(2)		0.000
p(5)		0.000
p(dom)		
p(subo)		
Constrained or unconstrained?		

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Single-stage Process	Two-Stage Process Stage I	Two-Stage Process Stage II
p(1)			
p(4)			
p(7)			
p(8)			
p(9)			
p(10)			
p(11)			
p(12)			

Computation 4 and 5	
Single-Stage Process	

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R

V c,x
s
Px
V c,u,x

C r,x
C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c,x)
s
P(x)
V(c,u,x)

C(r,x)
C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows	389	
Potential Capacity	664	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity	664	
Probability of Queue free St.	0.64	1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
---------------------------	---	---

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		1.00
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	0.64
Movement Capacity		

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
---------------------------	---	----

Part 1 - First Stage

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		

Part 2 - Second Stage

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 1.00
 Movement Capacity

Result for 2 stage process:

a
 Y
 C t
 Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St. 7 10

Part 1 - First Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor. 1.00
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 0.64
 Movement Capacity

Results for Two-stage process:

a
 Y
 C t

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)			237			
Movement Capacity (vph)			664			
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep			664			
Volume			237			
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config					R			
v (vph)					237			
C(m) (vph)					664			
v/c					0.36			
95% queue length					1.65			

Control Delay 13.4
 LOS B
 Approach Delay 13.4
 Approach LOS B

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5		
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6		
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5		
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6		
P*(oj)		
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4		
N, Number of major street through lanes		
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.9 Interseção E – Pico Manhã

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: G
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2-M7+M8-M11+M12-M13
 North/South Street: M13
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street:	Approach Movement	Eastbound				Westbound		
		1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R	
Volume					5	892		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF					0.91	0.91		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR					5	980		
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--		0	--	--	
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/			
RT Channelized?								
Lanes					0	2		
Configuration						LT T		
Upstream Signal?			No			No		

Minor Street:	Approach Movement	Northbound			Southbound		
		7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume		6					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF		0.91					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		6					
Percent Heavy Vehicles		11					
Percent Grade (%)			0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/		/
Lanes		1					
Configuration		L					

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Approach Movement	EB	WB	Northbound		Southbound	
			4 L	7 L	8 L	9 L
Lane Config			LT	L		
v (vph)		5	6			
C(m) (vph)		1636	513			
v/c		0.00	0.01			
95% queue length		0.01	0.04			

Control Delay	7.2	12.1	
LOS	A	B	
Approach Delay			12.1
Approach LOS			B

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL (TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: G
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2-M7+M8-M11+M12-M13
 North/South Street: M13
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				5	892	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF				0.91	0.91	
Peak-15 Minute Volume				1	245	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				5	980	
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--	0	--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes				0	2	
Configuration				LT	T	
Upstream Signal?	No				No	

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	6					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	0.91					
Peak-15 Minute Volume	2					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	6					
Percent Heavy Vehicles	11					
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes	1					
Configuration	L					

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments

Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data

	Prog.	Sat	Arrival	Green	Cycle	Prog.	Distance
	Flow	Flow	Type	Time	Length	Speed	to Signal
	vph	vph		sec	sec	kph	meters
S2 Left-Turn							
Through							
S5 Left-Turn							
Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		0
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		1700
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		1700
Number of major street through lanes:		2

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)		4.1	7.1					
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)		0	11					
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)		0.00	0.70					
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage		4.1	6.5					
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)		2.20	3.50					
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)		0	11					
t(f)		2.2	3.6					

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothing Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)				
Proportion time blocked, p			0.000	0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods		Result
p(2)		0.000
p(5)		0.000
p(dom)		
p(subo)		
Constrained or unconstrained?		

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II

p(1)
p(4)
p(7)
p(8)
p(9)
p(10)
p(11)
p(12)

Computation 4 and 5
Single-Stage Process
Movement

	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R

V c,x
s
Px
V c,u,x

C r,x
C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c,x)
s
P(x)
V(c,u,x)

C(r,x)
C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St. 9 12

Conflicting Flows
Potential Capacity
Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
Movement Capacity
Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St. 4 1

Conflicting Flows 0
Potential Capacity 1636
Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
Movement Capacity 1636
Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St. 1.00

Step 3: TH from Minor St. 8 11

Conflicting Flows
Potential Capacity
Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 1.00
Movement Capacity
Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St. 7 10

Conflicting Flows 500
Potential Capacity 515
Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance Factor 1.00
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor. 1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 1.00
Movement Capacity 513

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St. 8 11

Part 1 - First Stage
Conflicting Flows
Potential Capacity

Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity
 Probability of Queue free St.

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 1.00
 Movement Capacity

Result for 2 stage process:
 a
 Y
 C t
 Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St. 7 10

Part 1 - First Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows 500
 Potential Capacity 515
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor. 1.00
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 1.00
 Movement Capacity 513

Results for Two-stage process:
 a
 Y
 C t 513

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	6					
Movement Capacity (vph)	513					
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	513					
Volume	6					
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						

C act

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config		LT	L					
v (vph)		5	6					
C(m) (vph)		1636	513					
v/c		0.00	0.01					
95% queue length		0.01	0.04					
Control Delay		7.2	12.1					
LOS		A	B					
Approach Delay				12.1				
Approach LOS				B				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5		0
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6		0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5		1700
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6		1700
P*(oj)		1.00
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4		7.2
N, Number of major street through lanes		2
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.10 Interseção E – Pico Tarde

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: E
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2-M7+M8-M11
 North/South Street: M11
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street:	Approach	Eastbound				Westbound		
	Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
		L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume					4	1966		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF					0.91	0.91		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR					4	2160		
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--	--	0	--	--	--
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/			
RT Channelized?								
Lanes					0	2		
Configuration						LT T		
Upstream Signal?			No			No		

Minor Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound		
	Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume		208					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF		0.91					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		228					
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0					
Percent Grade (%)			0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/		/
Lanes		1					
Configuration		L					

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service							
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11 12
Lane Config		LT	L				
v (vph)		4	228				
C(m) (vph)		1636	240				
v/c		0.00	0.95				
95% queue length		0.01	15.73				
Control Delay		7.2	141.4				
LOS		A	F				
Approach Delay				141.4			
Approach LOS				F			

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: E
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2-M7+M8-M11
 North/South Street: M11
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				4	1966	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF				0.91	0.91	
Peak-15 Minute Volume				1	540	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				4	2160	
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--	0	--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes				0	2	
Configuration					LT T	
Upstream Signal?		No			No	

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	208					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	0.91					
Peak-15 Minute Volume	57					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	228					
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0					
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes	1					
Configuration	L					

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

		Upstream Signal Data						
	Prog.	Sat	Arrival	Green	Cycle	Prog.	Distance	
	Flow	Flow	Type	Time	Length	Speed	to Signal	
	vph	vph		sec	sec	kph	meters	
S2	Left-Turn							
	Through							
S5	Left-Turn							
	Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		0
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		1700
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		1700
Number of major street through lanes:		2

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)		4.1	7.1					
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)		0	0					
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)		0.00	0.70					
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage		4.1	6.4					
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)		2.20	3.50					
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)		0	0					
t(f)		2.2	3.5					

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)

V prog
 Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)
 Arrival Type
 Effective Green, g (sec)
 Cycle Length, C (sec)
 Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)
 Proportion vehicles arriving on green P
 g(q1)
 g(q2)
 g(q)

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)

alpha
 beta
 Travel time, t(a) (sec)
 Smoothing Factor, F
 Proportion of conflicting flow, f
 Max platooned flow, V(c,max)
 Min platooned flow, V(c,min)
 Duration of blocked period, t(p)
 Proportion time blocked, p

0.000 0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods	Result
-------------------------------------	--------

p(2) 0.000
 p(5) 0.000
 p(dom)
 p(subo)
 Constrained or unconstrained?

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)

	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Process Stage II
p(1)			
p(4)			
p(7)			
p(8)			
p(9)			
p(10)			
p(11)			
p(12)			

Computation 4 and 5
 Single-Stage Process
 Movement

	1 L	4 L	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
V c,x		0	1088					

s
 P x
 V c,u,x

C r,x
 C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

	7 Stage1 Stage2		8 Stage1 Stage2		10 Stage1 Stage2		11 Stage1 Stage2	
V(c,x)								
s	3000							
P(x)								
V(c,u,x)								

C(r,x)
 C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St. 9 12

Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Movement Capacity
 Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St. 4 1

Conflicting Flows 0
 Potential Capacity 1636
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Movement Capacity 1636
 Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00
 Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St. 1.00

Step 3: TH from Minor St. 8 11

Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 1.00
 Movement Capacity
 Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St. 7 10

Conflicting Flows 1088
 Potential Capacity 241
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor. 1.00

Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity	240	

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
---------------------------	---	----

Part 1 - First Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity
 Probability of Queue free St.

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		

Result for 2 stage process:
 a
 Y
 C t
 Probability of Queue free St.

	1.00	1.00
--	------	------

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
---------------------------	---	----

Part 1 - First Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	1088	
Potential Capacity	241	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		1.00
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity	240	

Results for Two-stage process:
 a
 Y
 C t

	240
--	-----

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	228					
Movement Capacity (vph)	240					
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R

C sep 240
 Volume 228
 Delay
 Q sep
 Q sep +1
 round (Qsep +1)

n max
 C sh
 SUM C sep
 n
 C act

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config		LT	L					
v (vph)		4	228					
C(m) (vph)		1636	240					
v/c		0.00	0.95					
95% queue length		0.01	15.73					
Control Delay		7.2	141.4					
LOS		A	F					
Approach Delay				141.4				
Approach LOS				F				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5		0
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6		0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5		1700
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6		1700
P*(oj)		1.00
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4		7.2
N, Number of major street through lanes		2
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.11 Interseção F – Pico Manhã

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: F
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M01-M05-M07+M08+M06-M11
 North/South Street: M12
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Major Street:	Approach Movement	Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments					
		Eastbound			Westbound		
		1	2	3	4	5	6
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume		9	1944				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		9	2136				
Percent Heavy Vehicles		12	--	--	--	--	--
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?							
Lanes		0	2				
Configuration		LT T					
Upstream Signal?		No				No	

Minor Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound			
	Movement	7	8	9		10	11	12
		L	T	R		L	T	R

Volume		5
Peak Hour Factor, PHF		0.91
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		5
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0
Percent Grade (%)	0	0
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	/	/
Lanes		1
Configuration		L

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service										
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	1	4		7	8	9		10	11	12
Lane Config	LT							L		
v (vph)	9							5		
C(m) (vph)	1560							241		
v/c	0.01							0.02		
95% queue length	0.02							0.06		
Control Delay	7.3							20.3		
LOS	A							C		
Approach Delay									20.3	
Approach LOS									C	

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: _____ Fax: _____
 E-Mail: _____

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: F
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M01-M05-M07+M08+M06-M11
 North/South Street: M12
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	9	1944				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.91	0.91				
Peak-15 Minute Volume	2	534				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	9	2136				
Percent Heavy Vehicles	12	--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	2				
Configuration		LT T				
Upstream Signal?		No			No	

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R

Volume	5
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	0.91
Peak-15 Minute Volume	1
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	5
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0
Percent Grade (%)	0
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage	/
RT Channelized	/

Lanes 1
 Configuration L

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2 Left-Turn							
Through							
S5 Left-Turn							
Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	0	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	
Number of major street through lanes:	2	

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation									
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R	
t(c,base)	4.1					7.1			
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
P(hv)	12					0			
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10	
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
t(3,lt)	0.00					0.70			
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	
t(c) 1-stage	4.2					6.4			
2-stage									

Follow-Up Time Calculations									
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R	
t(f,base)	2.20					3.50			
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	
P(HV)	12					0			
t(f)	2.3					3.5			

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)

V prog
 Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)
 Arrival Type
 Effective Green, g (sec)
 Cycle Length, C (sec)
 Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)
 Proportion vehicles arriving on green P
 g(q1)
 g(q2)
 g(q)

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)

alpha
 beta
 Travel time, t(a) (sec)
 Smoothing Factor, F
 Proportion of conflicting flow, f
 Max platooned flow, V(c,max)
 Min platooned flow, V(c,min)
 Duration of blocked period, t(p)
 Proportion time blocked, p 0.000 0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods Result

p(2) 0.000
 p(5) 0.000
 p(dom)
 p(subo)
 Constrained or unconstrained?

Proportion
 unblocked (1) (2) (3)
 for minor Single-stage Two-Stage Process
 movements, p(x) Process Stage I Stage II

p(1)
 p(4)
 p(7)
 p(8)
 p(9)
 p(10)
 p(11)
 p(12)

Computation 4 and 5
 Single-Stage Process

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R

V c,x 0 1086
 s
 Px
 V c,u,x

C r,x
 C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c,x)
 s 3000
 P(x)
 V(c,u,x)

C(r,x)
 C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St. 9 12

Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Movement Capacity
 Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St. 4 1

Conflicting Flows 0
 Potential Capacity 1560
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Movement Capacity 1560
 Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 0.99
 Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St. 0.99

Step 3: TH from Minor St. 8 11

Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity

Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	0.99
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
<hr/>		
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
<hr/>		
Conflicting Flows		1086
Potential Capacity		242
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.99	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	1.00	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	0.99
Movement Capacity		241

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
<hr/>		
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
<hr/>		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	0.99
Movement Capacity		

Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
<hr/>		
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		1086
Potential Capacity		242
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.99	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	1.00	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	0.99
Movement Capacity		241

Results for Two-stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		241

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
----------	---	---	---	----	----	----

Volume 6 905
 Peak-Hour Factor, PHF 0.91 0.91
 Hourly Flow Rate, HFR 6 994
 Percent Heavy Vehicles 0 -- -- -- --
 Median Type/Storage Undivided /
 RT Channelized?
 Lanes 0 2
 Configuration LT T
 Upstream Signal? No No

Minor Street: Approach Northbound Southbound
 Movement 7 8 9 | 10 11 12
 L T R | L T R

Volume 4
 Peak Hour Factor, PHF 0.91
 Hourly Flow Rate, HFR 4
 Percent Heavy Vehicles 0
 Percent Grade (%) 0 0
 Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage / /
 Lanes 1
 Configuration L

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service									
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Lane Config	LT						L		
v (vph)	6					4			
C(m) (vph)	1636					526			
v/c	0.00					0.01			
95% queue length	0.01					0.02			
Control Delay	7.2					11.9			
LOS	A					B			
Approach Delay								11.9	
Approach LOS								B	

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
 E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: F
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M01-M05-M07+M08+M06-M11
 North/South Street: M12
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	6	905				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.91	0.91				
Peak-15 Minute Volume	2	249				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	6	994				
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	2				
Configuration	LT T					
Upstream Signal?	No				No	
Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12

	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				4		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91		
Peak-15 Minute Volume				1		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				4		
Percent Heavy Vehicles				0		
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes				1		
Configuration				L		

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2 Left-Turn							
Through							
S5 Left-Turn							
Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	0	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	
Number of major street through lanes:	2	

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)	4.1					7.1		
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)	0					0		
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00					0.70		
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage	4.1					6.4		
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)	2.20					3.50		
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)	0					0		
t(f)	2.2					3.5		

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				

Cycle Length, C (sec)
 Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)
 Proportion vehicles arriving on green P
 g(q1)
 g(q2)
 g(q)

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothing Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)				
Proportion time blocked, p		0.000		0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods	Result
p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II
p(1)			
p(4)			
p(7)			
p(8)			
p(9)			
p(10)			
p(11)			
p(12)			

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process									
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R	
V c,x	0					509			
s									
Px									
V c,u,x									
C r,x									
C plat,x									

Two-Stage Process								
	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2
V(c,x)								
s						3000		
P(x)								
V(c,u,x)								
C(r,x)								
C(plat,x)								

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
Conflicting Flows		0

Potential Capacity		1636
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		1636
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		1.00
<hr/>		
Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
<hr/>		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
<hr/>		
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
<hr/>		
Conflicting Flows		509
Potential Capacity		528
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	1.00	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	1.00	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		526

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
<hr/>		
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
<hr/>		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
<hr/>		
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
<hr/>		
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		509
Potential Capacity		528
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	1.00	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	1.00	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		526

Results for Two-stage process:

a
y
C t 526

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume (vph)				4		
Movement Capacity (vph)				526		
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
C sep				526		
Volume				4		
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1 round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1 LT	4	7	8	9	10 L	11	12
v (vph)	6					4		
C(m) (vph)	1636					526		
v/c	0.00					0.01		
95% queue length	0.01					0.02		
Control Delay	7.2					11.9		
LOS	A					B		
Approach Delay							11.9	
Approach LOS							B	

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	0	
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	0	
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	
P*(oj)	1.00	
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	7.2	
N, Number of major street through lanes	2	
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.13 Interseção G – Pico Manhã

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: G
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023

Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2-M7+M8-M11+M12-M13
 North/South Street: M13
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments							
Major Street:	Approach	Eastbound			Westbound		
	Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume					30	896	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF					0.91	0.91	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR					32	984	
Percent Heavy Vehicles			--	--	4	--	--
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?							
Lanes					0	2	
Configuration					LT	T	
Upstream Signal?			No			No	

Minor Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound		
	Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume		2					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF		1.00					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		2					
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0					
Percent Grade (%)			0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/		/
Lanes		1					
Configuration		L					

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service									
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Lane Config		LT	L						
v (vph)		32	2						
C(m) (vph)		1610	486						
v/c		0.02	0.00						
95% queue length		0.06	0.01						
Control Delay		7.3	12.4						
LOS		A	B						
Approach Delay					12.4				
Approach LOS					B				

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
 E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: G
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2-M7+M8-M11+M12-M13
 North/South Street: M13
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				30	896	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF				0.91	0.91	

Peak-15 Minute Volume 8 246
 Hourly Flow Rate, HFR 32 984
 Percent Heavy Vehicles -- -- 4 -- --
 Median Type/Storage Undivided /
 RT Channelized?
 Lanes 0 2
 Configuration LT T
 Upstream Signal? No No

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	2					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00					
Peak-15 Minute Volume	0					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	2					
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0					
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes	1					
Configuration	L					

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog.	Sat	Arrival	Green	Cycle	Prog.	Distance
	Flow	Flow	Type	Time	Length	Speed	to Signal
	vph	vph		sec	sec	kph	meters
S2 Left-Turn							
Through							
S5 Left-Turn							
Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		0
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		1700
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		1700
Number of major street through lanes:		2

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)		4.1	7.1					
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)		4	0					
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)		0.00	0.70					
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage		4.1	6.4					
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)		2.20	3.50					
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)		4	0					
t(f)		2.2	3.5					

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal

	Movement 2	Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t) V(l,prot)

V prog
 Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)
 Arrival Type
 Effective Green, g (sec)
 Cycle Length, C (sec)
 Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)
 Proportion vehicles arriving on green P
 g(q1)
 g(q2)
 g(q)

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked

	Movement 2	Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t) V(l,prot)

alpha
 beta
 Travel time, t(a) (sec)
 Smoothing Factor, F
 Proportion of conflicting flow, f
 Max platooned flow, V(c,max)
 Min platooned flow, V(c,min)
 Duration of blocked period, t(p)
 Proportion time blocked, p

	0.000	0.000
--	-------	-------

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods Result

p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II
--	-----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

p(1)
 p(4)
 p(7)
 p(8)
 p(9)
 p(10)
 p(11)
 p(12)

Computation 4 and 5
 Single-Stage Process

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R

V c,x	0	556
s		
Px		
V c,u,x		

C r,x
 C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

	7	8	10	11
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c,x)	
s	3000
P(x)	
V(c,u,x)	

C(r,x)
 C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 2: LT from Major St.		
	4	1
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity	0	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1610	
Movement Capacity	1.00	1.00
Probability of Queue free St.	1610	
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.	0.98	1.00
	0.98	
Step 3: TH from Minor St.		
	8	11
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.98	0.98
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.		
	7	10
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity	556	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	496	
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		0.98
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.98	0.98
Movement Capacity	486	

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.		
	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.98	0.98
Movement Capacity		
Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.		
	7	10
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		

Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	556	
Potential Capacity	496	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		0.98
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		0.98
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.98	0.98
Movement Capacity	486	

Results for Two-stage process:

a	
Y	
C t	486

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume (vph)	2					
Movement Capacity (vph)	486					
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
C sep	486					
Volume	2					
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config		LT	L					
v (vph)		32	2					
C(m) (vph)		1610	486					
v/c		0.02	0.00					
95% queue length		0.06	0.01					
Control Delay		7.3	12.4					
LOS		A	B					
Approach Delay				12.4				
Approach LOS				B				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	0.98
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5		0
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6		0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5		1700
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6		1700
P*(oj)		0.98
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4		7.3
N, Number of major street through lanes		2
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.14 Interseção G – Pico Tarde

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: G
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2-M7+M8-M11+M12-M13
 North/South Street: M13
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street: Approach Movement	Eastbound				Westbound		
	1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R	
Volume				35	1969		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF				0.91	0.91		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				38	2163		
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--	0	--	--	
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/			
RT Channelized?							
Lanes				0	2		
Configuration					LT T		
Upstream Signal?		No			No		

Minor Street: Approach Movement	Northbound				Southbound		
	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R	
Volume	214						
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	0.91						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	235						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0						
Percent Grade (%)		0			0		
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/			/
Lanes	1						
Configuration	L						

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Approach Movement	EB		Northbound			Southbound	
	1	4	7	8	9	10	11 12
Lane Config		LT	L				
v (vph)	38	235					
C(m) (vph)	1636	214					
v/c	0.02	1.10					
95% queue length	0.07	24.75					
Control Delay	7.3	312.8					
LOS	A	F					
Approach Delay				312.8			
Approach LOS				F			

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
 E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL (TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: G
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE

Follow-Up Time Calculations

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)		2.20	3.50					
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)		0	0					
t(f)		2.2	3.5					

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal

	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked

	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothing Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)				
Proportion time blocked, p		0.000		0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods Result

p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II
p(1)			
p(4)			
p(7)			
p(8)			
p(9)			
p(10)			
p(11)			
p(12)			

Computation 4 and 5
Single-Stage Process

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
V c,x		0	1157					
s								
Px								
V c,u,x								
C r,x								
C plat,x								

Two-Stage Process

	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2
V(c,x)								
s		3000						

P(x)
V(c,u,x)

C(r,x)
C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
Conflicting Flows	0	
Potential Capacity	1636	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity	1636	
Probability of Queue free St.	0.98	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.	0.98	
Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.98	0.98
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Conflicting Flows	1157	
Potential Capacity	219	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		0.98
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		0.98
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.98	0.98
Movement Capacity	214	

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.98	0.98
Movement Capacity		
Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		

Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows 1157
 Potential Capacity 219
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor 0.98
 Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor. 0.98
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 0.98 0.98
 Movement Capacity 214

Results for Two-stage process:
 a
 Y
 C t 214

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume (vph)	235					
Movement Capacity (vph)	214					
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
C sep	214					
Volume	235					
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config		LT	L					
v (vph)		38	235					
C(m) (vph)		1636	214					
v/c		0.02	1.10					
95% queue length		0.07	24.75					
Control Delay		7.3	312.8					
LOS		A	F					
Approach Delay				312.8				
Approach LOS				F				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	0.98
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5		0
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6		0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5		1700
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6		1700
P*(oj)		0.98
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4		7.3

1.1.1.15 Interseção H – Pico Manhã

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
Agency/Co.:
Date Performed: 05/06/2023
Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
Intersection: H
Jurisdiction: DER/DF
Units: U. S. Metric
Analysis Year: 2023
Project ID:
East/West Street: M01-M05-M07+M08+M06-M11+M12
North/South Street: M14
Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street:	Approach Movement	Eastbound				Westbound		
		1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R	
Volume		1	1769					
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		1	1943					
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0	--	--		--	--	
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/			
RT Channelized?								
Lanes		0	2					
Configuration		LT T						
Upstream Signal?		No				No		

Minor Street:	Approach Movement	Northbound			Southbound		
		7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume					30		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF					0.91		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR					32		
Percent Heavy Vehicles					4		
Percent Grade (%)		0				0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/		/
Lanes					1		
Configuration					L		

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Approach Movement	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
			4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Config	LT							L
v (vph)	1							32
C(m) (vph)	1636							277
v/c	0.00							0.12
95% queue length	0.00							0.39
Control Delay	7.2							19.7
LOS	A							C
Approach Delay								19.7
Approach LOS								C

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL (TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: H
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID:
 East/West Street: M01-M05-M07+M08+M06-M11+M12
 North/South Street: M14
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	1	1769				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.91	0.91				
Peak-15 Minute Volume	0	486				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	1	1943				
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	2				
Configuration	LT T					
Upstream Signal?		No			No	

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				30		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91		
Peak-15 Minute Volume				8		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				32		
Percent Heavy Vehicles				4		
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes				1		
Configuration				L		

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2 Left-Turn Through							
S5 Left-Turn Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	0	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	
Number of major street through lanes:	2	

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)	4.1					7.1		

t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)	0					4		
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00					0.70		
t(c,T):	1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
t(c)	1-stage	4.1				6.4		
	2-stage							

Follow-Up Time Calculations

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)	2.20					3.50		
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)	0					4		
t(f)	2.2					3.5		

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal

Movement 2		Movement 5	
V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)

V prog
 Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)
 Arrival Type
 Effective Green, g (sec)
 Cycle Length, C (sec)
 Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)
 Proportion vehicles arriving on green P
 g(q1)
 g(q2)
 g(q)

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked

Movement 2		Movement 5	
V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)

alpha
 beta
 Travel time, t(a) (sec)
 Smoothing Factor, F
 Proportion of conflicting flow, f
 Max platooned flow, V(c,max)
 Min platooned flow, V(c,min)
 Duration of blocked period, t(p)
 Proportion time blocked, p

	0.000	0.000
--	-------	-------

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods

	Result
p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)

(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II
-----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

p(1)
 p(4)
 p(7)
 p(8)
 p(9)
 p(10)
 p(11)
 p(12)

Computation 4 and 5
 Single-Stage Process

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R

V c,x 0 973
 s
 Px
 V c,u,x

Result for 2 stage process:

a

Y

C t

Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St. 7 10

Part 1 - First Stage

Conflicting Flows

Potential Capacity

Pedestrian Impedance Factor

Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt

Movement Capacity

Part 2 - Second Stage

Conflicting Flows

Potential Capacity

Pedestrian Impedance Factor

Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt

Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage

Conflicting Flows 973

Potential Capacity 277

Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00

Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor 1.00

Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor. 1.00

Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 1.00 1.00

Movement Capacity 277

Results for Two-stage process:

a

Y

C t

277

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R

Volume (vph)	32
Movement Capacity (vph)	277
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)	

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R

C sep	277
Volume	32
Delay	
Q sep	
Q sep +1	
round (Qsep +1)	

n max
C sh
SUM C sep
n
C act

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LT					L		

v (vph)	1					32		
C(m) (vph)	1636					277		
v/c	0.00					0.12		
95% queue length	0.00					0.39		
Control Delay	7.2					19.7		
LOS	A					C		
Approach Delay							19.7	
Approach LOS							C	

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone:
E-Mail:

Fax:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL (TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: H
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M01-M05-M07+M08+M06-M11+M12
 North/South Street: M14
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street Movements	1 L	2 T	3 R	4 L	5 T	6 R
Volume	6	909				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.91	0.91				
Peak-15 Minute Volume	2	250				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	6	998				
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	2				
Configuration		LT T				
Upstream Signal?		No			No	

Minor Street Movements	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
Volume				35		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91		
Peak-15 Minute Volume				10		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				38		
Percent Heavy Vehicles				0		
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes				1		
Configuration				L		

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments

Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data

	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2 Left-Turn Through							
S5 Left-Turn Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	0	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	

Sat flow rate, major th vehicles: 1700
 Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles: 1700
 Number of major street through lanes: 2

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation

Movement	1 L	4 L	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
t(c,base)	4.1					7.1		
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)	0					0		
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00					0.70		
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage	4.1					6.4		
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations

Movement	1 L	4 L	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
t(f,base)	2.20					3.50		
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)	0					0		
t(f)	2.2					3.5		

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal

	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked

	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothing Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)				
Proportion time blocked, p			0.000	0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods Result

p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Process Stage II
--	-----------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------

p(1)
p(4)
p(7)
p(8)
p(9)
p(10)

p(11)
p(12)

Computation 4 and 5
Single-Stage Process

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R

V c,x	0					511		
s								
Px								
V c,u,x								

C r,x
C plat,x

Two-Stage Process

	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2

V(c,x)								
s						3000		
P(x)								
V(c,u,x)								

C(r,x)
C(plat,x)

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
---------------------------	---	---

Conflicting Flows		0
Potential Capacity		1636
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		1636
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		1.00

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		511
Potential Capacity		526
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	1.00	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	1.00	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		524

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
---------------------------	---	----

Part 1 - First Stage	
Conflicting Flows	
Potential Capacity	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	
Movement Capacity	
Probability of Queue free St.	

Part 2 - Second Stage
Conflicting Flows

v (vph)	6	38
C(m) (vph)	1636	524
v/c	0.00	0.07
95% queue length	0.01	0.23
Control Delay	7.2	12.4
LOS	A	B
Approach Delay		12.4
Approach LOS		B

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	0	
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	0	
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	
P*(oj)	1.00	
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	7.2	
N, Number of major street through lanes	2	
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.17 Interseção I – Pico Manhã

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: I
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV04-MOV10
 North/South Street: MOV10
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments							
Major Street:	Approach	Eastbound			Westbound		
	Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume		14	1988				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		15	2184				
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0	--	--	--	--	--
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?							
Lanes		0	2				
Configuration		LT T					
Upstream Signal?		No				No	
Minor Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound		
	Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume					207		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF					0.91		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR					227		
Percent Heavy Vehicles					1		
Percent Grade (%)		0				0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/		/
Lanes					1		
Configuration					L		

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service							
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11 12
Lane Config	LT						L
v (vph)	15					227	

C(m) (vph)	1636	227
v/c	0.01	1.00
95% queue length	0.03	18.45
Control Delay	7.2	189.8
LOS	A	F
Approach Delay		189.8
Approach LOS		F

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: I
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV04-MOV10
 North/South Street: MOV10
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	14	1988				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.91	0.91				
Peak-15 Minute Volume	4	546				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	15	2184				
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	2				
Configuration	LT T					
Upstream Signal?	No				No	
Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				207		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91		
Peak-15 Minute Volume				57		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				227		
Percent Heavy Vehicles				1		
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes				1		
Configuration				L		

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog.	Sat	Arrival	Green	Cycle	Prog.	Distance
	Flow	Flow	Type	Time	Length	Speed	to Signal
	vph	vph		sec	sec	kph	meters

S2 Left-Turn
Through

S5 Left-Turn
Through

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	0	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	
Number of major street through lanes:	2	

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)	4.1					7.1		
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)	0					1		
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00					0.70		
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage	4.1					6.4		
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)	2.20					3.50		
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)	0					1		
t(f)	2.2					3.5		

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothing Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)				
Proportion time blocked, p			0.000	0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods		Result
p(2)		0.000
p(5)		0.000
p(dom)		
p(subo)		
Constrained or unconstrained?		

Proportion

unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process		(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I			(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II		
p(1)								
p(4)								
p(7)								
p(8)								
p(9)								
p(10)								
p(11)								
p(12)								

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process Movement								
	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
V c,x	0					1122		

s								
Px								
V c,u,x								
C r,x								
C plat,x								

Two-Stage Process								
	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2
V(c,x)								
s						3000		
P(x)								
V(c,u,x)								
C(r,x)								
C(plat,x)								

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
---------------------------	---	---

Conflicting Flows		0
Potential Capacity		1636
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		1636
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	0.99
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		0.99

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	0.99
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
---------------------------	---	----

Conflicting Flows		1122
Potential Capacity		229
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	0.99	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	0.99	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.99	0.99
Movement Capacity		227

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
---------------------------	---	----

Part 1 - First Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity
 Probability of Queue free St.

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 0.99 0.99
 Movement Capacity

Result for 2 stage process:
 a
 Y
 C t
 Probability of Queue free St. 1.00 1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St. 7 10

Part 1 - First Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 2 - Second Stage
 Conflicting Flows
 Potential Capacity
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt
 Movement Capacity

Part 3 - Single Stage
 Conflicting Flows 1122
 Potential Capacity 229
 Pedestrian Impedance Factor 1.00 1.00
 Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor 0.99
 Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor. 0.99
 Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt 0.99 0.99
 Movement Capacity 227

Results for Two-stage process:
 a
 Y
 C t 227

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)				227		
Movement Capacity (vph)				227		
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep				227		
Volume				227		
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						

n max

C sh
SUM C sep
n
C act

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LT					L		
v (vph)	15					227		
C(m) (vph)	1636					227		
v/c	0.01					1.00		
95% queue length	0.03					18.45		
Control Delay	7.2					189.8		
LOS	A					F		
Approach Delay						189.8		
Approach LOS						F		

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	0.99	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	0	
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	0	
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	
P*(oj)	0.99	
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	7.2	
N, Number of major street through lanes	2	
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.18 Interseção I – Pico Tarde

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
Agency/Co.:
Date Performed: 05/06/2023
Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
Intersection: I
Jurisdiction: DER/DF
Units: U. S. Metric
Analysis Year: 2023
Project ID:
East/West Street: MOV01-MOV04-MOV10
North/South Street: MOV10
Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Major Street:	Approach	Eastbound			Westbound		
Movement		1	2	3	4	5	6
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume		6	707				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF		0.91	0.91				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR		6	776				
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0	--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage		Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?							
Lanes		0	2				
Configuration		LT T					
Upstream Signal?		No				No	

Minor Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement		7	8	9	10	11	12
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume					10		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF					0.91		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR					10		
Percent Heavy Vehicles					6		
Percent Grade (%)		0				0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/		/

Lanes 1
 Configuration L

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service									
Approach Movement Lane Config	Northbound			Southbound					
	EB	WB		8	9	10	11	12	
	1	4	7			10			
	LT					L			
v (vph)	6					10			
C(m) (vph)	1636					596			
v/c	0.00					0.02			
95% queue length	0.01					0.05			
Control Delay	7.2					11.1			
LOS	A					B			
Approach Delay						11.1			
Approach LOS						B			

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
 E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: I
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID:
 East/West Street: MOV01-MOV04-MOV10
 North/South Street: MOV10
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	6	707				
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.91	0.91				
Peak-15 Minute Volume	2	194				
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	6	776				
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	--	--		--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes	0	2				
Configuration	LT T					
Upstream Signal?	No				No	
Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				10		
Peak Hour Factor, PHF				0.91		
Peak-15 Minute Volume				3		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				10		
Percent Heavy Vehicles				6		
Percent Grade (%)	0				0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes				1		
Configuration				L		

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2

Percent Blockage 0 0 0 0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2	Left-Turn Through						
S5	Left-Turn Through						

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:	0	
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:	0	
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:	1700	
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:	1700	
Number of major street through lanes:	2	

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)	4.1					7.1		
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)	0					6		
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)	0.00					0.70		
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage	4.1					6.5		
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)	2.20					3.50		
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)	0					6		
t(f)	2.2					3.6		

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				

Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
alpha				
beta				
Travel time, t(a) (sec)				
Smoothing Factor, F				
Proportion of conflicting flow, f				
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)				
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)				
Duration of blocked period, t(p)				
Proportion time blocked, p			0.000	0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods	Result
p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II
p(1)			
p(4)			
p(7)			
p(8)			
p(9)			
p(10)			
p(11)			
p(12)			

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process									
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R	
V _{c,x}	0					400			
s									
P _x									
V _{c,u,x}									

Two-Stage Process								
	7		8		10		11	
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1	Stage2
V(c,x)								
s						3000		
P(x)								
V(c,u,x)								
C(r,x)								
C(plat,x)								

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
Conflicting Flows		0
Potential Capacity		1636
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		1636
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.		1.00
Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Conflicting Flows		400
Potential Capacity		598
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00

Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	1.00	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	1.00	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		596

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00

Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		400
Potential Capacity		598
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor	1.00	
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.	1.00	
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		596
Results for Two-stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		596

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)				10		
Movement Capacity (vph)				596		
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
----------	---	---	---	----	----	----

	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep				596		
Volume				10		
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config	LT					L		
v (vph)	6					10		
C(m) (vph)	1636					596		
v/c	0.00					0.02		
95% queue length	0.01					0.05		
Control Delay	7.2					11.1		
LOS	A					B		
Approach Delay							11.1	
Approach LOS							B	

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	1.00
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5	0	
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6	0	
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5	1700	
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6	1700	
P*(oj)	1.00	
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4	7.2	
N, Number of major street through lanes	2	
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.19 Interseção J – Pico Manhã

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: J
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2-M10
 North/South Street: M9
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments							
Major Street:	Approach	Eastbound			Westbound		
	Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6
		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume					208	751	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF					0.91	0.91	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR					228	825	
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--		--	--	
Median Type/Storage	Undivided				/		
RT Channelized?							
Lanes					0	2	
Configuration					LT	T	

Upstream Signal?	No			No		
Minor Street: Approach	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	14					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	14					
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0					
Percent Grade (%)	0			0		
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		
Lanes	1					
Configuration	L					

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service						
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound		Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10 11 12
Lane Config		LT	L			
v (vph)	228		14			
C(m) (vph)	1530		277			
v/c	0.15		0.05			
95% queue length	0.52		0.16			
Control Delay	7.8		18.7			
LOS	A		C			
Approach Delay				18.7		
Approach LOS				C		

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: Fax:
E-Mail:

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Manha
 Intersection: J
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2-M10
 North/South Street: M9
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments						
Major Street Movements	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				208	751	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF				0.91	0.91	
Peak-15 Minute Volume				57	206	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				228	825	
Percent Heavy Vehicles	--		--	--		--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes				0	2	
Configuration				LT	T	
Upstream Signal?	No			No		
Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	14					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	1.00					
Peak-15 Minute Volume	4					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	14					
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0					
Percent Grade (%)	0			0		

Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage / /
 RT Channelized
 Lanes 1
 Configuration L

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2 Left-Turn Through							
S5 Left-Turn Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		0
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		1700
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		1700
Number of major street through lanes:		2

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1 L	4 L	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
t(c,base)		4.1	7.1					
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)			0					
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)		0.00	0.70					
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage		4.3	6.4					
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1 L	4 L	7 L	8 T	9 R	10 L	11 T	12 R
t(f,base)		2.20	3.50					
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)			0					
t(f)		2.4	3.5					

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				
Arrival Type				
Effective Green, g (sec)				
Cycle Length, C (sec)				
Rp (from Exhibit 16-11)				
Proportion vehicles arriving on green P				
g(q1)				
g(q2)				
g(q)				
Computation 2-Proportion of TWSC Intersection Time blocked				

Movement 2 Movement 5
V(t) V(l,prot) V(t) V(l,prot)

alpha		
beta		
Travel time, t(a) (sec)		
Smoothing Factor, F		
Proportion of conflicting flow, f		
Max platooned flow, V(c,max)		
Min platooned flow, V(c,min)		
Duration of blocked period, t(p)		
Proportion time blocked, p	0.000	0.000

Computation 3-Platoon Event Periods	Result
p(2)	0.000
p(5)	0.000
p(dom)	
p(subo)	
Constrained or unconstrained?	

Proportion unblocked for minor movements, p(x)	(1) Single-stage Process	(2) Two-Stage Process Stage I	(3) Two-Stage Process Stage II
p(1)			
p(4)			
p(7)			
p(8)			
p(9)			
p(10)			
p(11)			
p(12)			

Computation 4 and 5 Single-Stage Process									
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R	
V c,x		0	868						
s									
Px									
V c,u,x									

C r,x			
C plat,x			
Two-Stage Process			
	7	8	10
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1
	7	8	10
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1
	7	8	10
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1
	7	8	10
	Stage1	Stage2	Stage1
V(c,x)			
s	3000		
P(x)			
V(c,u,x)			
C(r,x)			
C(plat,x)			

Worksheet 6-Impedance and Capacity Equations

Step 1: RT from Minor St.	9	12
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
Step 2: LT from Major St.	4	1
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity	0	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1530	
Movement Capacity	1.00	1.00
Probability of Queue free St.	1530	
Maj L-Shared Prob Q free St.	0.85	1.00
Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11

Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.85	0.85
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
<hr/>		
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
<hr/>		
Conflicting Flows	868	
Potential Capacity	325	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		0.85
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		0.89
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.85	0.89
Movement Capacity	277	

Worksheet 7-Computation of the Effect of Two-stage Gap Acceptance

Step 3: TH from Minor St.	8	11
<hr/>		
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
Probability of Queue free St.		
<hr/>		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.85	0.85
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Result for 2 stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t		
Probability of Queue free St.	1.00	1.00
<hr/>		
Step 4: LT from Minor St.	7	10
<hr/>		
Part 1 - First Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 2 - Second Stage		
Conflicting Flows		
Potential Capacity		
Pedestrian Impedance Factor		
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt		
Movement Capacity		
<hr/>		
Part 3 - Single Stage		
Conflicting Flows	868	
Potential Capacity	325	
Pedestrian Impedance Factor	1.00	1.00
Maj. L, Min T Impedance factor		0.85
Maj. L, Min T Adj. Imp Factor.		0.89
Cap. Adj. factor due to Impeding mvmnt	0.85	0.89
Movement Capacity	277	
<hr/>		
Results for Two-stage process:		
a		
Y		
C t	277	

Worksheet 8-Shared Lane Calculations

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume (vph)	14					
Movement Capacity (vph)	277					
Shared Lane Capacity (vph)						

Worksheet 9-Computation of Effect of Flared Minor Street Approaches

Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
C sep	277					
Volume	14					
Delay						
Q sep						
Q sep +1						
round (Qsep +1)						
n max						
C sh						
SUM C sep						
n						
C act						

Worksheet 10-Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Config		LT	L					
v (vph)		228	14					
C(m) (vph)		1530	277					
v/c		0.15	0.05					
95% queue length		0.52	0.16					
Control Delay		7.8	18.7					
LOS		A	C					
Approach Delay				18.7				
Approach LOS				C				

Worksheet 11-Shared Major LT Impedance and Delay

	Movement 2	Movement 5
p(oj)	1.00	0.85
v(i1), Volume for stream 2 or 5		0
v(i2), Volume for stream 3 or 6		0
s(i1), Saturation flow rate for stream 2 or 5		1700
s(i2), Saturation flow rate for stream 3 or 6		1700
P*(oj)		0.85
d(M,LT), Delay for stream 1 or 4		7.8
N, Number of major street through lanes		2
d(rank,1) Delay for stream 2 or 5		

1.1.1.20 Interseção J – Pico Tarde

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: Progeplan
Agency/Co.:
Date Performed: 05/06/2023
Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
Intersection: J
Jurisdiction: DER/DF
Units: U. S. Metric
Analysis Year: 2023
Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
East/West Street: M2-M10
North/South Street: M9
Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

		Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments					
Major Street:	Approach	Eastbound			Westbound		
	Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6

	L	T	R		L	T	R
Volume					10	2192	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF					0.91	0.91	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR					10	2408	
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--		6	--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/			
RT Channelized?							
Lanes					0	2	
Configuration					LT	T	
Upstream Signal?		No				No	

Minor Street:	Approach	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement		7	8	9		10	11	12
		L	T	R		L	T	R

Volume	6						
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	0.91						
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	6						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0						
Percent Grade (%)		0				0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage					/		/
Lanes	1						
Configuration	L						

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service										
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	1	4		7	8	9		10	11	12
Lane Config		LT		L						
v (vph)		10		6						
C(m) (vph)		1597		199						
v/c		0.01		0.03						
95% queue length		0.02		0.09						
Control Delay		7.3		23.7						
LOS		A		C						
Approach Delay					23.7					
Approach LOS					C					

HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.6

Phone: _____ Fax: _____
 E-Mail: _____

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL(TWSC) ANALYSIS

Analyst: Progeplan
 Agency/Co.:
 Date Performed: 05/06/2023
 Analysis Time Period: Pico Tarde
 Intersection: J
 Jurisdiction: DER/DF
 Units: U. S. Metric
 Analysis Year: 2023
 Project ID: FUTURA SAÚDE
 East/West Street: M2-M10
 North/South Street: M9
 Intersection Orientation: EW Study period (hrs): 1.00

Major Street Movements	Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume				10	2192	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF				0.91	0.91	
Peak-15 Minute Volume				3	602	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR				10	2408	
Percent Heavy Vehicles		--	--	6	--	--
Median Type/Storage	Undivided			/		
RT Channelized?						
Lanes				0	2	
Configuration				LT	T	
Upstream Signal?		No			No	

Minor Street Movements	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	6					
Peak Hour Factor, PHF	0.91					
Peak-15 Minute Volume	2					
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	6					
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0					
Percent Grade (%)		0			0	
Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage				/		/
RT Channelized						
Lanes	1					
Configuration	L					

Pedestrian Volumes and Adjustments				
Movements	13	14	15	16
Flow (ped/hr)	0	0	0	0
Lane Width (m)	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Walking Speed (m/sec)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Percent Blockage	0	0	0	0

Upstream Signal Data							
	Prog. Flow vph	Sat Flow vph	Arrival Type	Green Time sec	Cycle Length sec	Prog. Speed kph	Distance to Signal meters
S2 Left-Turn Through							
S5 Left-Turn Through							

Worksheet 3-Data for Computing Effect of Delay to Major Street Vehicles

	Movement 2	Movement 5
Shared ln volume, major th vehicles:		0
Shared ln volume, major rt vehicles:		0
Sat flow rate, major th vehicles:		1700
Sat flow rate, major rt vehicles:		1700
Number of major street through lanes:		2

Worksheet 4-Critical Gap and Follow-up Time Calculation

Critical Gap Calculation								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(c,base)		4.1	7.1					
t(c,hv)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P(hv)		6	0					
t(c,g)			0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
Percent Grade			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
t(3,lt)		0.00	0.70					
t(c,T): 1-stage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-stage	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
t(c) 1-stage		4.2	6.4					
2-stage								

Follow-Up Time Calculations								
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
	L	L	L	T	R	L	T	R
t(f,base)		2.20	3.50					
t(f,HV)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
P(HV)		6	0					
t(f)		2.3	3.5					

Worksheet 5-Effect of Upstream Signals

Computation 1-Queue Clearance Time at Upstream Signal				
	Movement 2		Movement 5	
	V(t)	V(l,prot)	V(t)	V(l,prot)
V prog				
Total Saturation Flow Rate, s (vph)				